

MR4182993 20C08 18M30 20B30

**Maksimau, Ruslan (F-MONT-IGA); Stroppel, Catharina (D-BONN-M)**

Higher level affine Schur and Hecke algebras. (English summary)

*J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **225** (2021), no. 8, Paper No. 106442, 44 pp.

In the article under review, the authors complete the construction of a “zoo” of algebras, which consists of two big families, namely,

1. the “Hecke family”,
2. the “KLR (short for Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier) family”.

Each of these families is further split into eight sub-families according to being

- **affine** or **cyclotomic**,
- **no level** or **higher level**,
- **Schur** or **not Schur**.

To efficiently summarize the authors’ work, we will use abbreviations. The bulleted properties will be represented by the initials **a**, **c**, **nl**, **hl**, **S** and **nS**. Then, for example, by writing **a.nl.nS.1**, we mean a Hecke algebra that is affine, no level, and not Schur. In this labeling scheme, the main results of this article can be summarized as follows.

First of all, the authors construct the members of the sub-families **a.hl.nS.1**, **c.hl.nS.1** and **a.hl.S.1**. Note that in [Algebr. Comb. **3** (2020), no. 1, 1–38; MR4068742], B. Webster independently constructed the sub-family **a.hl.nS.1**.

Many of these sub-families of algebras are interwoven. Some of these connections were already known before the present article was published. For example, it was shown by J. Brundan and A. S. Kleshchev in [Invent. Math. **178** (2009), no. 3, 451–484; MR2551762], and independently by R. Rouquier in [“2-Kac-Moody algebras”, preprint, arXiv:0812.5023], that the blocks of a decomposition of a cyclotomic Hecke algebra are isomorphic to the cyclotomic KLR algebras of type A. Some other coincidences were presented in [B. Webster, op. cit.] and [V. Miemietz and C. Stroppel, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **25** (2019), no. 2, Paper No. 32; MR3948934].

In the present article, the authors construct isomorphisms between suitable completions of the higher level affine Hecke algebras and the higher level KLR algebras. They obtain these isomorphisms as a result of their concrete description of the higher level Hecke algebras in terms of their generators and relations. In fact, the authors identify the natural faithful polynomial representations of both of the completions. Then they show that these polynomial representations agree with each other. Furthermore, the authors show that their isomorphisms descend to give isomorphisms between the cyclotomic versions.

*Mahir Bilen Can*

## References

1. J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov-Lauda algebras, Invent. Math. 178 (2009) 451–484. MR2551762
2. C.J. Bushnell, P.C. Kutzko, The admissible dual of  $GL_N$  via restriction to compact open subgroups, in: Harmonic Analysis on Reductive Groups, in: Progr. Math., vol. 101, Birkhäuser, 1991, pp. 89–99. MR1168479
3. M. Demazure, Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion, Invent. Math. 21 (1973) 287–301. MR0342522
4. R. Dipper, G. James, A. Mathas, Cyclotomic  $q$ -Schur algebras, Math. Z. 229 (3)

- (1998) 385–416. [MR1658581](#)
5. R.M. Green, The affine  $q$ -Schur algebra, J. Algebra 215 (2) (1999) 379–411. [MR1686197](#)
  6. G. James, A. Mathas, The Jantzen sum formula for cyclotomic  $q$ -Schur algebras, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 352 (11) (2000) 5381–5404. [MR1665333](#)
  7. D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras, Invent. Math. 87 (1) (1987) 153–215. [MR0862716](#)
  8. M. Khovanov, A. Lauda, A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups. I, Represent. Theory 13 (2009) 309–347. [MR2525917](#)
  9. A.A. Kirillov Jr., Lectures on affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald’s conjectures, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 34 (3) (1997) 251–292. [MR1441642](#)
  10. A. Kleshchev, R. Muth, Affine zigzag algebras and imaginary strata for KLR algebras, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 371 (7) (2019) 4535–4583. [MR3934461](#)
  11. A.D. Lauda, M. Vazirani, Crystals from categorified quantum groups, Adv. Math. 228 (2) (2011) 803–861. [MR2822211](#)
  12. G. Lusztig, Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version, J. Am. Math. Soc. 2 (3) (1989) 599–685. [MR0991016](#)
  13. A. Mathas, The representation theory of the Ariki-Koike and cyclotomic  $q$ -Schur algebras, in: Representation Theory of Algebraic Groups and Quantum Groups, in: Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 40, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004, pp. 261–320. [MR2074597](#)
  14. V. Miemietz, C. Stroppel, Affine quiver Schur algebras and  $p$ -adic  $GL_n$ , Sel. Math. New Ser. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-019-0474-y>. [MR3948934](#)
  15. M. Nazarov, Young’s symmetrizers for projective representations of the symmetric group, Adv. Math. 127 (2) (1997) 190–257. [MR1448714](#)
  16. T. Przezdziecki, Cohomological Hall algebras and Quiver Schur algebras, PhD thesis, University of Glasgow and of Bonn, 2019.
  17. S. Riche, G. Williamson, Tilting modules and the  $p$ -canonical basis, Astérisque 397 (2018). [MR3805034](#)
  18. R. Rouquier, 2-Kac-Moody algebras, arXiv:0812.5023, 2008.
  19. C. Stroppel, B. Webster, Quiver Schur algebras and  $q$ -Fock space, arXiv:1110.1115v2, 2014.
  20. M. Varagnolo, E. Vasserot, Canonical bases and Khovanov-Lauda algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. 659 (2011) 67–100. [MR2837011](#)
  21. M.-F. Vignéras, Schur algebras of reductive  $p$ -adic groups i, Duke Math. J. 116 (1) (2003) 35–75. [MR1950479](#)
  22. B. Webster, On graded presentations of Hecke algebras and their generalizations, Version 4, arXiv:1305.0599, 2013. [MR4068742](#)
  23. B. Webster, Knot invariants and higher representation theory, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 250 (1191) (2017). [MR3709726](#)
  24. O. Zariski, P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra. Vol. II, D. van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N. J.-Toronto-London-New York, 1960. [MR0120249](#)

*Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.*